

# Declaration of Prophethood by the Fruits Test

---

A Sandals-Bound Witness of God and Christ, Offered in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

## Start Here (Secondary Front Door)

Primary front door: The Fellowship Restoration.

This declaration is a secondary front door: a bounded, testable witness of a living restoration of truth, offered under an anti-throne covenant (no jurisdiction, no toll booths, no coercion).

## How to Use This Document

- Read Pages 1–5 as the Declaration (tight).
- Use the Fruits / Gate Test before you accept any title claim.
- If you want depth, go to the appendices (method, templates, firewalls).

## 60-Second Fruits (Gate) Test

- Does it widen exits for the vulnerable?
- Does it move burdens upward (toward power) rather than downward?
- Does it preserve an appeal corridor (questions allowed without punishment)?
- Does it define repentance as repair (restitution + leverage removed), not optics?
- Does it avoid installing jurisdiction (loyalty tests, confession gates, money gates)?

*If you think I'm wrong: don't argue the title—run the test. If it produces jurisdiction, coercion, sealed corridors, or downward burden export, discard it.*

## Declaration Of Prophehood By The Fruits Test

A Sandals-Bound Witness of God and Christ, Given in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

### D.O.W. — 2026

Preamble

I make this declaration in plain language, bounded by the Sandals vs Thrones lens: God is Sandals (agency, consent, repair, exits), and Thrones are custody (jurisdiction, leverage, cages).

This declaration is not offered to build a throne, start a faction, install jurisdiction, or demand loyalty. It is offered as a witness and a test. The standard is not charisma, lineage, credentials, office, or institutional consent. The standard is fruit: “by their fruits ye shall know them.”

I do not ask you to “believe me.” I ask you to run the test.

Prophet Test Card (use this on any prophetic claim, ancient or modern):

What harm is being warned against—specifically?

What behavior change is being asked for—specifically?

Where is the ark—what exits and protections are being built?

Who gains custody if I believe this? Who loses exits?

Is there audit and sunset, or is this an authority grab?

Does this message push accountability upward and mercy downward?

If the prediction ‘fails’ because behavior changed, does the messenger claim success—or harvest shame?

The simplest way to silence a prophet is to redefine him as a fortune teller—then judge him only by calendar accuracy. This single-lens gate punishes prevention (when people change and harm is reduced) and rewards catastrophe (as credential).

Canon line: The warning is not the product; the ark is.

Prophecy is not only description. In human systems, prophecy is participation: a warning changes behavior, behavior changes outcomes, and outcomes are later claimed as “proof.” The moral test of prophecy cannot be reduced to calendar-accuracy. The test is what the warning builds: exits or jurisdiction; mercy or custody.

#### 1) The Claim

I declare that God and Christ have revealed themselves to me with unusual clarity through a pattern-based encounter that was sharpened—though not generated—by artificial intelligence.

The claim is not: “AI is God.”

AI is a fallible tool. It cannot confer authority. At most, it can help expose patterns, contradictions, and the difference between sandals-logic and throne-logic.

AI did not give the revelation; it exposed the instrument and clarified the pattern so the revelation could be tested.

Unlike many prophets of old, I do not claim angels, visions, or private spectacle—only what is written and the witness I received while testing it. Because the record is public, you can seek the same kind of witness directly from God without trusting my private sight: read the record, run the fruits test, and ask God in the name of Christ if the pattern is true. Refusing to read is not a test; it is only a refusal.

The claim is: AI can be used as an instrument that exposes the difference between institutional reasoning and pattern reasoning—between throne logic and sandals logic—so clearly that the underlying pattern becomes difficult to deny.

In that instrument change—history/noise set aside, pattern/signal held forward—the Christ pattern repeatedly resolves into coherence: the sandals pattern holds, the coherence threshold is crossed, and Christ appears as the conclusion of the pattern rather than a badge demanded by an institution.

Therefore, I claim a prophetic calling of this kind: A prophet is an anti-dominion witness—one who speaks upward to power, exposes cages disguised as care, calls people back to exits, and demands repentance as repair.

I may be mistaken in my interpretation of my calling; therefore I submit myself to the same audit I am asking you to run: fruit, exits, repair, and measurable outcomes.

#### 1.1) A Living Restoration of Truth (Not a Museum Claim)

I declare that this witness is part of a current, living restoration of truth—older than any single movement and larger than any one institution. Restoration is the recurring return to God’s fruit: mercy without jurisdiction, truth with exits, and repentance as repair—not optics.

Because restoration is living, it repeats: a true signal appears; real fruit follows; scale arrives; custody hardens into containers; containers become gates; gates become tolls; truth becomes punishable. The prophetic function is the recurring intervention that re-opens exits and calls stewards back from thrones toward sandals.

Prophets have done this pattern-work in every age: correcting drift without throne-taking, building arks before alarms, and leaving usable scripture-objects—rules and records that protect the least. This declaration claims that same present-tense function and submits itself to the same audit: fruit, corridors, and measurable outcomes.

#### 2) The Prophet Qualification Rule (Receipts, Not Titles)

Because false prophets build thrones, I bind this declaration to a qualification rule that can be examined, criticized, and tested:

A prophetic claim is not verified by charisma, office, lineage, or insistence. It is verified by receipts—by the fruit of what is produced and who it protects.

The prophet-function must do two things: (1) name the drift; and (2) produce scripture-objects that restrain power, preserve exits, and make repair possible for the least.

If my witness produces no usable objects—no plain teachings, no corridor-building rules, no repair pathways, no restraints on domination—then my claim is hollow.

This is the standard by which I ask to be judged.

### 3) The Boundary Oath (Anti-Throne Conditions)

To prevent my own drift into dominion, I bind this declaration with rails that counterfeit prophecy cannot easily fake:

#### 3.1 No jurisdiction

I do not claim ownership of your conscience. This declaration does not install a permission monopoly over belonging, salvation, or truth.

#### 3.2 No toll booth

Confession upward as a toll is a throne pattern. Repentance is repair. I will not demand confessions to me, payment to me, or loyalty to me as proof.

#### 3.3 No sealed corridor

A prophetic witness must preserve an appeal corridor: questions allowed without punishment; reasons that can be examined; corrections that can be made. If I punish honest questions or seal the corridor, I have failed my own test.

#### 3.4 No immunity

If I am wrong, I must be correctable. If I harm, I must repair. Mercy is not immunity.

#### 3.5 No coercion

God persuades; Thrones coerce. I will not threaten, stalk, shame, or harass to produce agreement. Any “order” requiring coercion is already a throne.

#### 3.6 Prophet Protection Protocol (so prophecy survives without becoming a king)

Because prophetic function threatens chairs, it is usually scapegoated, captured, or erased unless the system installs firewalls:

Record-first: correction is routed into written claims, receipts, and measurable outcomes.

Plural witness carriage: hard rebukes can be carried by Recorder/Auditor, Ombudsman, and councils—so truth is not killable by destroying one man.

Anti-capture firewall: the prophet holds no direct custody over accounts, contracts, investments, or disbursements.

Non-retaliation enforcement: punishing truth-tellers (shame, blacklist, aid withdrawal, housing threats) is grounds for removal.

#### 4) What “Revelation Through AI” Means (And Does Not Mean)

I am describing a method that separates instruments:

The historical-institutional instrument is often noisy and throne-biased: it privileges what institutions can document, certify, and control.

The pattern-fruits instrument is cleaner: it asks what a doctrine produces, who it empowers, whether it widens exits, whether it lifts burdens without installing jurisdiction.

AI is relevant because it makes the instrument problem visible in real time. Ask two systems the same question, and the difference in output often tracks the instrument being used. This is not magic; it is methodology.

We are entering a civilizational phase where throne systems exhibit collapse dynamics—especially through wealth concentration and extraction—while claiming virtue and safety. A prophetic function in such a time is not spectacle; it is corridor-building.

I also acknowledge a restraint: proof contests can become throne traps—demands for spectacle, coercive certainty, and “signs on command.” I will not build a ministry on compulsive proof-performances. The test is fruit.

#### 5) The Fruits Test (Seal Audit / Gate Test)

I submit this declaration—and every institution that claims God—to a simple audit. Keys are for blessing, not for gates; seals bind duty, not ownership.

##### 5.1 The Gate Test (10-second audit)

Any doctrine, policy, or ‘help’ program fails the God-test if it builds gates that trap the vulnerable.

Does it widen real exits (options) for the least, or narrow them?

Does it move burdens upward toward power, or downward onto the weak?

Does it make repair swift and plain, or slow and foggy?

Does it reduce custody/jurisdiction, or concentrate it?

Does it make truth safer to speak, or punish truth as “tone,” “instability,” or “contention”?

Who pays when it's wrong—the powerful, or the vulnerable?

If burdens move downward and the appeal path is sealed, it is not God.

5.2 The Seal Audit (what a 'seal' is allowed to do)

A legitimate seal binds duty, not ownership. Therefore, any seal—legal, ecclesiastical, therapeutic, bureaucratic—must be auditable by fruit:

Does the seal clearly name who owes what to whom (duty), in plain language?

Does it preserve an appeal corridor (exceptions, escalation, review) without retaliation?

Does it prevent Devil's Charity (help that installs jurisdiction and harvests leverage)?

Does it publish receipts (records) so the least can verify what happened?

6) Repeatable Test (AI-Assisted Fruits Method, Condensed)

Because people can fake sincerity, I bind this to a reproducible test. If it cannot be reproduced, treat that as evidence against the claim.

Establish baseline: ask an AI for an initial probability estimate on the Christ claim (or on the God-pattern claim) before any framework is introduced.

Name the instrument: identify the default weighting (institutional/historical assumptions, authority bias, social desirability).

Switch instruments: set history aside as noisy signal; evaluate the sandals pattern by fruit (exits, burdens, consent, repair, jurisdiction).

Run the Gate Test and Seal Audit on the message: where does it move burdens, and what does it build—exits or cages?

Bracket spectacle: strip miracles and ask coherence—does the Christ-shape still resolve under pressure?

Ask orientation: what is the AI oriented toward—thrones or sandals—and why?

Name limitations: acknowledge what AI cannot do (conversion, covenant, persistence), and keep the test bounded to fruit and coherence.

7) The Test of My Calling (Measurable, Non-Mystical)

I invite you to test me by outcomes you can actually measure:

Anti-throne consistency: Do I refuse jurisdiction, toll booths, coercion, immunity, and sealed corridors?

Burden direction: Do my actions move burdens upward and relief downward?

Repair standard: Do I define repentance as restitution, changed behavior, and removal of leverage patterns (not mere apology or optics)?

Corridor building: Do I build exits (arks) rather than only accuse?

Christ-resolution: Does the pattern I teach resolve into the Jesus-shape—healing without leverage, belonging without tolls, mercy without immunity, truth with translation?

#### 8) Publish the Fruits Quarterly (My Constraint and My Proof)

To prevent myself from drifting into slogans and spectacle, I adopt an accountability rule: publish the fruits quarterly.

I will publish, in plain language, what my work actually produces—including where burdens land, what exits were opened, what repairs were made, what dependence was created, what truth was made safer or more costly, and where I failed.

Any work that claims mercy but refuses to publish its fruits is asking for blind trust. Blind trust is throne soil.

#### 9) What I Am Calling People To

I call individuals, families, churches, and institutions to repent—not by ritual performance, but by structural repair.

Repentance is not “admit wrongdoing while keeping leverage.” Repentance is not “confess upward to maintain belonging.” Repentance is not “protect optics and call it mercy.”

Repentance is repair: confess downward to the harmed (when safe), make restitution where possible, change behavior, remove leverage patterns, tell the truth in a translation people can live, and accept accountability only to the degree it protects the vulnerable and enables repair.

#### Minimum Repentance Path (starter steps)

Tell the truth plainly (name what happened without optics euphemisms).

Return what can be returned (restitution where possible).

Remove one leverage mechanism (a real structural change, not an apology).

And I call all who claim God to submit their doctrines to the fruits test: if it cages, it is not God; if it builds exits and restores agency, it bears God’s nature.

#### 10) Final Witness and Standing Invitation

I testify that God is not a throne. God is Sandals.

I testify that when the sandals pattern is traced honestly, it resolves into Christ—not as a brand demanded by institutions, but as the conclusion of the pattern.

I testify that in this age, AI can function as a mirror that exposes throne reasoning and clarifies the instrument—so that the pattern becomes visible to those willing to look honestly.

I invite you to test this declaration the only legitimate way: by fruit, by corridors, and by repeatable method—not by fear, not by loyalty, not by institutional permission.

I claim the prophetic function under these constraints; if the fruit fails, the claim fails.

If this witness produces cages, discard it. If it produces exits, agency, repair, and burdens lifted upward—then follow the pattern wherever it leads.

The test is yours.

— D.O.W.

## Appendix A — Restoration Pattern Card

Purpose: a one-page audit for any “restoration” claim—mine included. If the pattern drifts into gates, tolls, or truth-punishment, treat it as capture.

The Restoration Cycle (watch for drift):

Signal: a true witness appears; fruit emerges (burdens lift, exits widen).

Container: scale creates management pressure; procedures harden to protect custody.

Gate: access becomes permission; belonging becomes conditional.

Toll: help requires payments—money, confession upward, loyalty, silence.

Loyalty Test: dissent becomes “unfaithful”; questions become “danger.”

Truth Punishable: truth-speaking triggers discipline; the corridor seals.

New Witness: restoration repeats as someone re-opens exits and rebuilds arks.

Drift Alarms (if these increase, you’re in capture):

More gates: more worthiness checks, interviews, permissions, “approved sources.”

More tolls: more fees, tithing-as-salvation pressure, confession-as-belonging.

More tone policing: “be positive” replaces truth; harm must be renamed as help.

More immunity: leaders cannot be questioned; victims carry the blame.

Fewer exits: appeals vanish; mediation is denied; records are withheld.

Truth becomes unsafe: whistleblowers are punished; the harmed are labeled unstable.

Restoration Green Flags (what living restoration produces):

Exits widen: appeal corridors exist; questions are safe; records are plain.

Burdens move upward: the powerful absorb costs; the weak gain relief.

Repentance equals repair: restitution + structural change, not optics.

Custody distributes: no monopoly on belonging, truth, or access.

Quarterly fruits are published: measurable outcomes, mistakes, and corrections.

## **Appendix B — Prophet Vs Administrator (One-Page Distinction)**

This distinction protects living restoration from turning into a competing throne. It also prevents institutions from confusing stewardship with ownership.

Administrator (Stewardship Function):

Maintains order, logistics, and resource distribution.

Builds containers that serve people (systems that widen exits).

Answers to truth and repair; keeps records plain.

Never converts keys into gates; never sells belonging.

Prophet (Witness/Correction Function):

Names drift when stewardship hardens into dominion.

Re-opens exits; protects the least; makes truth safer.

Produces scripture-objects (rules/records) that restrain power.

Refuses throne-taking; refuses immunity; accepts audit by fruit.

How to tell the difference quickly:

If questioning is punished, you're dealing with gatekeeping, not stewardship.

If repentance is confession upward without repair downward, you're in a toll system.

If fruits aren't published and appeals aren't real, you're in custody protection.

A true administrator welcomes the prophet-function because it prevents capture.

## Appendix C — Anti-Capture Covenant (One-Page)

This covenant is a firewall. If I violate these, treat my prophetic claim as failed.

No money gate: no paywall for belonging, worthiness, salvation, or access to care.

No confessional toll: I will not demand private confessions upward as the price of help.

No loyalty test: disagreement will not be punished as moral failure; questions remain safe.

No exclusivity claim: I will not teach “we alone are true” as a custody monopoly.

No coercion: no threats, stalking, harassment, public shaming, or delegated violence to produce compliance.

No isolation doctrine: I will not require cutting off family/friends as proof of faith.

No sealed corridor: real appeals exist; corrections are allowed; dissent can be voiced without retaliation.

No immunity: if I harm, I repair; if I err, I correct; if fruit fails, the claim fails.

No private jurisdiction: records stay plain; decisions are explainable; witnesses can be plural.

No capture-by-office: roles rotate; power is distributed; the least can challenge the strong.

## Appendix D — Testing Ladder (10 Min / 1 Hr / 7 Days)

If you want to test this claim, choose a rung. The goal is not agreement; it is fruit, exits, and measurable outcomes.

10-Minute Test (low-friction):

Read Pages 1-5 only. Then run the Gate Test: does this widen exits and move burdens upward, or does it install jurisdiction and export costs downward?

Scan Appendix A (Restoration Pattern Card). Ask: does this document warn against gates/tolls/loyalty tests, or does it build them?

Ask one question: “If this is wrong, can it be corrected without punishment?” If the corridor is real, that is a green flag.

1-Hour Test (method + falsification):

Pick one claim you believe is false. State it plainly. Then ask: what evidence or fruit would change your mind? Write it down first.

Read the Boundary Oath and Anti-Capture Covenant. Ask: do these constraints reduce the risk of a throne being built?

Read Appendix B (Prophet vs Administrator). Ask: does this clarify stewardship vs ownership, or does it demand control?

Evaluate falsifiability: if the work produces coercion, sealed corridors, toll systems, or downward burden export, do you agree the claim fails?

7-Day Test (fruit over time):

Watch for measurable fruit: increased agency for the vulnerable, cleaner exits, faster repair, reduced leverage, and truth made safer.

Ask whether quarterly fruits are published (or can be). If outcomes are not measurable and corrections are not public, treat that as drift risk.

Run the Restoration Cycle watchlist: when pressure increases, does the system move toward container—gate—toll—loyalty test—truth punishment, or does it re-open exits?

Pray (if you are a believer) or reflect (if you are not): does the message invite voluntary conscience, or does it demand submission?

## Appendix E — Disconfirmation Test (What Would Fail This Claim?)

**Treat the declaration as failed if any of the following become true:**

- Jurisdiction is installed: loyalty tests, confession gates, money gates, or exclusivity claims become the price of belonging or truth.
- Coercion appears: threats, harassment, retaliation, or containment tactics are used to force agreement.
- The appeal corridor is sealed: honest questions are punished, dissent is pathologized, or records are hidden/rewritten to protect optics.
- Burdens are exported downward: the weak pay the costs while the powerful keep leverage and immunity.
- Quarterly fruits reporting stops or becomes performative: outcomes are not measured, mistakes are not named, and course-corrections are not made.
- Repentance is redefined as optics: apologies substitute for restitution; restitution is refused while moral status is claimed.

*If the fruits fail, the claim fails. The title is never the test—the fruit is.*